

2023:PHHC:056618

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

235 CRM-M-18170-2023
Date of Decision: 21.04.2023

Rajni Pandey Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. Rajeev Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhinave Gupta, APP, U.T., Chandigarh.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

Custody certificate dated 21.04.2023 filed by State counsel today in the Court is taken on record.

2. State counsel opposes the bail on the grounds that the petitioner has not mentioned the criminal history. Although, the petitioner has been arraigned as an accused in another case under POCSO Act.

3. At this stage, counsel for the petitioner wants to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file fresh petition.

4. Given above, the present petition stands disposed of having been withdrawn with liberty aforesaid. State to hand over the custody certificate to the counsel for the petitioner to enable him to file a fresh petition. All pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

21.04.2023
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No