

CRM-M-32608-2017 (O&M)
CRM-M-36956-2017 (O&M)
CRM-M-43594-2018 (O&M)

-1- 2023:PHHC:074551

204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-32608-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision:22.05.2023

MAHI PAUL SHARMA Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER Respondents

2) CRM-M-36956-2017 (O&M)

JAI PAL SHARMA AND ANR Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS Respondents

3) CRM-M-43594-2018 (O&M)

SANDEEP SHARMA Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Deepak Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-32608-2017.

Ms. Priyanka Sadar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Bhag Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2
in CRM-M-32608-2017 & CRM-M-36956-2017.

Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3 in CRM-M-43594-2018.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. By this common order in CRM-M-32608-2017, CRM-M-36956-2017 and CRM-M-43594-2018 are adjudicated as all arising out of one impugned order.
2. The petitioners through instant petitions under Section 482 Cr.P.C. are seeking setting aside judgment dated 05.04.2012 whereby Additional Sessions Judge, Ambala had accepted revision filed by the

respondent. The petitioners are further seeking quashing of Criminal Complaint No.367 dated 07.08.2012 (Annexure P-4) as well summoning order dated 18.09.2013 (Annexure P-5) whereby petitioners have been summoned to face trial under Section 406, 498A, 323 and 506 of IPC.

3. Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that matter has been amicably settled. The petitioner(s) handed over a demand draft of Rs.7 lacs to learned counsel for the respondent who in turn handed over said demand draft to complainant who is present in person in the Court.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that complainant has amicably settled her all disputes with petitioners and she has no objection if impugned orders are quashed, however, he seeks liberty to move an appropriate application, if petitioners fail to comply with terms and conditions of the compromise.

5. In view of the statements of both sides, the impugned Complaint No.367 dated 07.08.2012 (Annexure P-4) and summoning order dated 18.09.2013 (Annexure P-5) are hereby quashed.

6. The parties are at liberty to move an appropriate application, if another side fails to comply with terms and conditions of the compromise.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

22.05.2023
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>