

CRM-M-18145 of 2023 (O & M)
(287)

::1::

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-18145 of 2023 (O & M)
Date of decision: 15.11.2023

Sourabh

..... Petitioner(s)

V/s

State of Haryana and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Diwan S. Adlakha, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Rajiv Goel, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ajay Chauhan, Advocate,
for the respondents No.2 to 4.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.120 dated 04.02.2022 under Sections 323, 427, 506 and 34 IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Yamuna Nagar City, District Yamuna Nagar and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 01.02.2023 (Annexure P-2).

Vide order dated 22.08.2023 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in terms of certain parameters given in the aforesaid order dated 22.08.2023 with regard to the compromise (Annexure P-2).

CRM-M-18145 of 2023 (O & M)
(287)

::2::

In terms of the order dated 22.08.2023 passed by this Court parties have appeared before the court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri and as per the report dated 03.11.2023 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543.***

Further, the learned counsel for the petitioners, while placing reliance upon the judgments passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Jayrajsinh Digvijaysinh Rana Versus State of Gujarat and another, 2012(4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 589** and this Court in **Joginder Singh & another Vs. State of Punjab and another, CRM-M-23739- 2010 decided on 27.04.2011, Rajinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab & another, CRM-M-37395-2016 decided on 16.05.2017, Bhoj Raj Vs. State of Punjab &**

CRM-M-18145 of 2023 (O & M)
(287)

::3::

another, CRM-24945-2019 decided on 27.09.2019 and Vimal Kalra & others Versus State of Punjab & another, CRMM-20355-2022, decided on 25.07.2022 submits that partial quashing of the FIR was possible on the basis of a compromise.

Keeping in view the law laid down by this Court in the aforementioned judgments and the report of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Yamuna Nagar, the FIR No.120 dated 04.02.2022 under Sections 323, 427, 506 and 34 IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Yamuna Nagar City, District Yamuna Nagar alongwith all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioner only.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

November 15, 2023
sukhpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No