

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

236

CWP No. 9394 of 2019
Date of decision: 31.01.2023

Bhupinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Arun Rana, Advocate for
Mr. Suresh Kumar Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Rohit Arya, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Govind Tanwar, Advocate and
Mr. Kanwar Goyal, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J.

Present petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus to direct the respondent No. 2 to consider candidature of the petitioner for the post of Assistant Professor (Commerce) being having all requisite qualifications in pursuant to Advertisement dated 08.03.2019 (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the respondent No. 2-Haryana Public Service Commission (in short 'HPSC') issued an advertisement No. 1/2019 dated 08.03.2019 for direct recruitment for the post of Assistant Professor. The online applications were sought. Being eligible for the said post, petitioner applied for the same, but the application form was not accepted by the computer system of respondent No. 2. The petitioner approached to the office of HPSC but there is no response. Thereafter, he made a representation dated 20.03.2019(Annexure P-4). The

only ground for not accepting the application form of the petitioner was that

the respondent No. 2 had treated the petitioner overage. Hence the present writ petition.

It is further submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner vide order dated 8.04.2019 passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, the respondent-Commission was directed to provisionally accept the hard copy of the application form of the petitioner for the post of Assistant Professor in Commerce and the petitioner was allowed to sit in the examination but the petitioner was not called for the interview.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent No. 2 states that at the time of submission of application form, the petitioner was overage and on the direction of this Court his application was accepted provisionally and was allowed to sit in the examination. The petitioner could not qualified for the interview because he did not score the minimum cut off marks.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner was duly considered for the post of Assistant Professor in Commerce but the petitioner did not score the minimum cut off marks thus not fall in the zone of consideration.

Dismissed.

31.01.2023

Rajeev (rvs)

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No