

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

248-CRM-M-19613-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 10.04.2023

VIMLA @ BIMLA

... Petitioner

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. NK Khepar, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Karan Sharma, DAG Haryana

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (Oral)

This petition has been filed seeking a direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to take action on the representation dated 29.03.2022 against respondents No.6 to 9.

Learned State counsel has submitted the reply dated 11.11.2022 wherein it has been averred that the representation (Annexure P4) has been considered by the local police of Police Station Palla, District Faridabad and disposed of as the same was having no truth.

In the light of the above, nothing survives in this petition.

Ordered accordingly.

However, the petitioner shall at liberty to avail remedy in accordance with law.

The petitioner stands disposed of as having become infructuous.

10.04.2023

V.Vishal

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?

2. Whether reportable?

(Sandeep Moudgil)
Judge

Yes/No

Yes/No