

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18895-2022

Date of decision :14.02.2023

SATISH KUMAR PANDIT

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. S.S. Nain, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, Asst. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Prashant Vashishth, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Mr. Suresh Ahlawat, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of an FIR No.199 dated 22.05.2019 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 323, 324, 34, 356, 452 and 506 IPC along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the parties.

Vide order dated 05.05.2022 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise dated 29.04.2022 (P-2).

The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

Pursuant to the order dated 05.05.2022 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st

Class, Gurugram and as per the report dated 20.04.2021 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***“Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543”***.

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Gurugram accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.467 dated 26.12.2019 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 279 and 337 of the IPC, 1860 at Police Station Sector-39, Chandigarh along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

14.02.2023
JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No