

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

272

**RSA No.3155 of 1998 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 08.02.2023**

Barkha Singh

...Appellant

Versus

Balbir Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present :- None for the appellant.

Mr. Sanjeev Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

None for respondents No.2 to 4, 6 and 7.

Respondent No.5 stated to have died.

Mr. Dinesh Saini, Advocate appearing for
Mr. Pritam Saini, Advocate
for respondent No.8.

* * * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

Today, none has appeared in the Court on behalf of the appellant nor anyone has come forward for being impleaded as his (appellant's) Legal Representative in the present appeal.

On 03.02.2023, this Court had passed the following order in

IOIN-RSA No.3155 of 1998:-

“ Learned counsel for the appellant informs the Court that the sole appellant has expired and despite his best endeavours, he has not been able to contact the legal

representatives of the appellant for the purpose of moving the appropriate applications for impleading them as such and also for bringing the legal representatives of respondent No.5 on the record in the instant appeal.

IOIN stands disposed of accordingly.

Let the main appeal be listed for hearing on 08.02.2023.

To be taken up after the Urgent List.”

Keeping in view the above-discussed facts and circumstances, the Regular Second Appeal in hand is hereby dismissed for want of prosecution.

08.02.2023
neetu

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether Reportable: No