

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**1. CRM-M No.17989 of 2023**

Abhijot Singh & another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & another

... Respondents

**2. CRM-M No.17990 of 2023**

Parasdeep Singh @ Paras @ Paras Dhillon

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

Date of decision: 6<sup>th</sup> July, 2023

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present: Mr. Aman Raj Bawa, Advocate for  
Mr. G.S. Thind, Advocate  
for the petitioners in CRM-M No.17989 of 2023;  
for respondents No.2 to 4 in CRM-M No.17990 of 2023  
  
Mr. Vinay K. Gupta, Asst. Advocate General, Punjab  
for respondent No.1/State.  
  
Mr. Sarabjit Singh, Advocate  
for respondent No.2 in CRM-M No.17989 of 2023  
for the petitioner in CRM-M No.17990 of 2023.

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**

1. Both the instant petitions, detailed hereinabove, have been  
filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing FIR No.259 dated  
28.10.2021 under Sections 307, 323, 148, 149 IPC and Sections 25, 27,  
54 of the Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Ranjit Avenue,  
District Amritsar as well as DDR No.30 dated 09.11.2021 under

Sections 323, 295, 506 IPC and sections 25/27/54 of the Arms Act, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 17.03.2023 effected between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 17.04.2023 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate on 15.05.2023 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate, 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Amritsar, in pursuance of the direction of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and both the parties have also made statements to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR and the DDR, being a case of version and cross-version, filed against each other are quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed a copy of the statements of the parties, alongwith its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that it is a case of version and cross-version and that there are no other accused other than the petitioner(s) and private respondent(s) are the only aggrieved persons in the FIR and the DDR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Amritsar and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in

**‘Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others’ (2012) 10 SCC 303,**  
and also by the Full Bench of this Court in **‘Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another’ 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052,** both the instant petitions are allowed. The aforesaid FIR as well as the DDR and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are quashed.

7. Needless to say, the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)**  
**JUDGE**

**July 6, 2023**  
*rps*

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |