

260-14

2023:PHHC:151628

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-7565-2023

Date of Decision:29.11.2023

VISHANT SHARMA

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Ms. Puneet Kaur Sekhon, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. Learned State counsel, at the outset, submits that petitioner belongs to General category and cut off under said category was 195 marks whereas petitioner had secured 188 marks, thus, claim of the petitioner is not sustainable.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner expressed her inability to controvert the aforesaid fact, however, she prays that she may be granted to liberty to move an appropriate application, if this fact is found incorrect.
3. Disposed of with aforesaid liberty.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)

JUDGE

29.11.2023

Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No