

207 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-19882 of 2021(O&M)

Date of Decision: 24.02.2023

Vikramjeet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

**Present:- Mr. K.S. Brar, Advocate
For the petitioner.**

Mr. C.L. Pawar, Addl. AG Punjab.

**Mr. Abhishek Sanghi, Advocate
For the complainant.**

KARAMJIT SINGH, J.

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail in case having FIR No. 58 dated 08.04.2021, registered under Sections 420 and 120-B IPC at Police Station Canal Colony, Bathinda.

As per the allegations in the FIR, one Satnam Singh had entered into an agreement to sell his land in favour of the complainant on 22.10.2018 and the complainant handed over Rs.50,00,000/- to said Satnam Singh as earnest money and the sale-deed was agreed to be executed by 31.07.2019. But thereafter Satnam Singh failed to execute the sale deed in favour of the complainant. That lateron Satnam Singh started alleging that he never executed aforesaid agreement to sell and someone else, by impersonating himself as Satnam Singh, executed the same and received the earnest money.

That said Satnam Singh subsequently sold portion of the aforesaid property

to some third person and thereby committed fraud against the complainant. That petitioner Vikramjit Singh was also part of the aforesaid fraud.

The counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and that main accused Satnam Singh was arrested by the police and later on granted regular bail. The counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner is not beneficiary in any manner and the entire disputed amount was taken by main accused Satnam Singh and that petitioner has joined the investigation with the police.

The present petition is resisted by the counsel for the complainant who submits that the petitioner was also involved in the conspiracy which was hatched by Satnam Singh, who took Rs.50,00,000/- from the complainant and failed to execute the sale deed in his favour regarding his land.

The State counsel, on instructions from ASI Iqbal Singh, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required by the police for further investigation or custodial interrogation. The State counsel also apprised the Court that main accused Satnam Singh has been arrested by the police.

In view of the above, without commenting on the merits of the case, instant petition is allowed and order of interim bail dated 04.08.2021 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

24.02.2023

Jiten

**(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No**