

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-7279-2023

Date of decision : 13.04.2023

Archit Enterprises

... Petitioner

Versus

Municipal Corporation Faridabad

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Suman Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

This is a civil writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus/certiorari to quash order dated 28.03.2023 (Annexure P-10).

A perusal of the order dated 28.03.2023 would show that it has been specifically mentioned in the same that an appeal can be filed against the said order and the said order has been passed under Section 263-A of the Haryana Municipal Corporation Act, 1994 (in short “the Act”) and against the said order, appeal lies under Section 263A(4) of the Act whereas in paragraph 22 of the writ petition, it has been stated that the petitioner has no alternative remedy.

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present petition with liberty to avail alternative remedy, in accordance with law.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

April 13, 2023

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No

Yes/No