

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

108

CRWP-3527-2023 (O&M)

Decided on :17.04.2023

Shanti and another

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Avinash Mandla, Advocate for the petitioners.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 to provide protection of lives and liberty of the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondents.

2. Learned counsel for petitioners submits that petitioner No.1- Shanti, aged about 22 years and petitioner No.2 – Baga Singh, aged about 28 years, have got married on 05.04.2023 against the wishes of their family members, arrayed as respondents No. 4 to 6. It is first marriage of both the petitioners. Learned counsel for the petitioners also submits that though, marriage certificate of the marriage is not available, but the photographs of the marriage have been attached as Annexure P-3. It has been further submitted that private respondents are threatening to interfere in the matrimonial life of petitioners. Hence, petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 08.04.2023 (Annexure P-4), to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar Rural, Punjab, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

3. Notice of motion.

On advance notice, Mr. J .S. Arora, DAG, Punjab, who is

present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents Nos.1 to 3 (State).

5. In view of the above, present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar Rural, Punjab, to look into the representation dated 08.04.2023 (Annexure P-4), *qua* threat perception and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that lives and liberty of the petitioners, is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

6. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

7. Disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

17.04.2023

Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No