

Satnam Singh and others ...Petitioners
Versus
State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Krishan Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Ramender Singh Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.
Ms. Meenakshi Bali, Advocate for
Mr. GC Shahpuri, Advocate for the complainant-respondent.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.PC. seeking quashing of FIR No.228 dated 30.03.2021 under Sections 323, 452, 506, 34 of IPC, 1860 (Section 307 IPC was added later on) registered at Police Station City Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar (Annexure P-1) and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise.
2. Counsel for the complainant submits that she does not have any instructions regarding the compromise.
3. Besides the fact that there is a doubt about the genuineness of the settlement, in view of the judgment of the Supreme Court in *State of Madhya Pradesh Vs. Laxmi Narayan and others, 2019(2) RCR (Criminal), 255* petition seeking quashing of the FIR on the basis of compromise cannot be entertained.
4. At this stage, counsel for the petitioner seeks and is granted permission to withdraw the petition.
5. Dismissed as withdrawn at this stage.

27.07.2023
Parveen kumar

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No