

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

101

**2023:PHHC:145468
CWP No.7743 of 2023
Date of Decision:16.11.2023**

Gurwinder Singh

....Petitioner

VS.

Union of India and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. B.S.Jaswal, Advocate
for the petitioner

Ms. Amrita Singh, Central Govt. Counsel
for the Union of India

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent No. 2 to re-issue his passport.

2. The petitioner was issued passport on 27.01.2011 which expired on 26.01.2021. The petitioner vide application dated 18.08.2021 applied for re-issuance of passport with correct name of the petitioner as well as his spouse.

3. Learned counsel for Union of India, who on advance notice is present in Court, submits that there are adverse reports from police authorities, however, she assures the Court that an appropriate order considering mandate of the Passport Act, instructions issued thereunder and

judgment of this Court in **Mohan Lal @ Mohna vs. Union of India and**

others 2023 SCC Online P&H 1391, would be passed within six weeks from today. In case the passport authority comes to a conclusion that passport cannot be re-issued to the petitioner, an opportunity of personal hearing before passing order shall be granted.

4. In the wake of statement of learned counsel for Union of India, the petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

16.11.2023
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No