

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

106

CRWP-3422-2023
Decided on : 13.04.2023

Khem Singh

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. A.B.S.Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. JS Arora, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner-Khem Singh, aged 48 years has filed instant petition for seeking directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to decide the representation dated 01.04.2023 (Annexure P-2) submitted by him, wherein he has expressed his concern regarding threats to his own life & liberty and also of his labourers/workers. Undue interference and threats are being issued by the private respondents No.6 to 9 with the purpose to not to allow the petitioner and his workers to carry out the allotted work, which has been allotted to him by the Administration.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that respondents No.6 to 9 are politically active persons in the truck operators union, Malerkotla & are in fact the persons who want that petitioner should not work in the area of Malerkotla. In regard to the harassment and threats issued by the private respondents, one representation dated 01.04.2023 (Annexure P-2) has already been submitted to the Deputy Commissioner, Malerkotla-cum-

CRWP-3422-2023**- 2 -**

Chairman Allotment Committee, Malerkotla, District Malerkotla

(respondent No.2) along with a copy to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Malerkotla (respondent No.3). Despite of submission of representation, no heed is being paid to the problems being faced by the petitioner.

Notice of motion.

On asking of Court, Mr. JS Arora, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 5(State).

Let requisite copies of complete paper-book be supplied to learned State counsel, during course of the day.

Notice to the private respondents No.6 to 9 is exempted. While making submissions, counsel also refers to the order passed by this Court in similar situation i.e. Order dated 18.04.2017 passed in CWP No.7701 of 2017 & Order dated 12.03.2019 passed in CWP No.6638 of 2019.

This Court is convinced with the submissions addressed by the counsel for the petitioner that it is responsibility of the administration to get the work executed without undue interference, once same has been allotted to the person entitled for it under the scheme of the Government. Thus, respondent No.2 is directed to examine the representation dated 01.04.2023 (Annexure P-2) submitted by the petitioner and if so required, remedial steps be taken immediately.

Disposed of.

Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

April 13, 2023

k.nain