

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-17830 of 2023

Date of decision: 26th July, 2023

Sarbjit Singh @ Sunny

Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Gaurav Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Navreet Kaur Barnala, AAG, Punjab.
Ms. Suman, Advocate for respondents No. 2 and 3.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

1. This petition under Section 482 of Code of Criminal Procedure is filed seeking quashing of FIR No. 14 dated 3.3.2023, under Sections 279, 337, 338, 427 IPC, registered at Police Station Begowal, District Kapurthala and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise.
2. As per the FIR, the petitioner while driving a vehicle broke down the gate of the house of the complainant and caused injuries to his son.
3. The parties with the intervention of respectables have compromised the matter.
4. On 13.4.2023, the parties were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial court for getting their statements recorded with regard to compromise.
5. The report dated 13.6.2023 is received stating that the compromise is genuine, voluntary, without any coercion or undue influence and there is only one accused (petitioner herein) and he has not been declared proclaimed offender.

6. Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, has held:-
“There is no statutory bar in Cr.P.C. which affects inherent power of this Court under Section 482. The power of quashing is not limited to matrimonial cases alone.”
7. The Supreme Court in *Parbatbhai Aahir @ Parbatbhai Bhimsinhbhai Karmur and others v. State of Gujarat and another, 2017 AIR (SC) 4843* laid down the broad principles governing the exercise of powers for quashing of FIR. It was held that the power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is to be exercised by the High Court to secure the ends of justice, to prevent abuse of any process of law and in cases where in view of the compromise the possibility of conviction is remote and continuation of proceeding will cause oppression and prejudice.
8. With the intervention of friends and relatives, the parties have decided to forget and forgive. No useful purpose would be served by continuing with the trial. Due to compromise, there are bleak chances of conviction. To meet the ends of justice, the FIR mentioned above and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed.
9. The petition is allowed.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]

JUDGE

26th July, 2023

mk

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned

:

Yes / No
2. Whether reportable

:

Yes / No