

**(209) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18360-2022

Date of Decision:18.01.2023

Ankush

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present : Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate for
Mr. Rakesh Kumar Lathwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Himmat Singh, D.A.G. Haryana.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks regular bail in case FIR No.528 dated 10.11.2019 registered under Sections 323, 452, 506, 34 (Section 302, 201 added Later-on) of IPC at Police Station Sonipat Sadar, District Sonipat (Haryana).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been in custody for a period in excess of 3 years. The trial is not likely to be concluded at an early date, as 5 more prosecution witnesses remain to be examined.

Custody certificate dated 17.01.2023 digitally signed by Krishan Kumar Maan, Deputy Superintendent, District Prison (Sonipat), has been produced in Court and the same is taken on record. A perusal thereof shows that the petitioner has undergone actual custody of 3 years, 1 month and 29 days and there is one other criminal case pending against him.

Learned State counsel has conceded the submission made on behalf of the petitioner that 5 more prosecution witnesses remain to be examined.

Considering the fact that the trial is not likely to be concluded at any early date and custody already undergone by the petitioner as well as the fact that

days of the incident due to cardiac arrest, I deem it appropriate to grant regular bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and it is directed that the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

However, the petitioner shall not be released if he is in custody in other pending cases.

18.01.2023
rajeev

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No