

**209 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18591-2022

Date of Decision: 16th March, 2023

Jagsir Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. Arnav Sood, Advocate for the the petitioner.
Mr. I.S. Mann, DAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This third petition is filed seeking regular bail in case FIR No. 60, dated 12th September, 2021, under Section 354-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 8 of POCSO Act (now Section 354-B IPC and Section 8 of POCSO Act have been deleted and offence under Section 354 of IPC and Section 10 of the POCSO Act has been added), registered at Police Station Nandgarh, District Bathinda, Punjab.
2. One of the main ground for seeking bail is that petitioner is in custody since 13th September, 2021.
3. Reply filed by learned State counsel is taken on record. Copy supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.
4. Learned State counsel on instructions submits that prosecution evidence is concluded and matter is fixed for 313 Cr.P.C. statements.
5. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the State, learned counsel for the petitioner is not pressing the petition at this stage. However, a request is made for directions to conclude the trial in a time bound manner.

6. The petition is dismissed as not pressed.
7. There is no doubt that in case a request is made before the court concerned for accommodating the date for an expeditious conclusion of the trial, the same would be considered in accordance with law.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

16th March, 2023
Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No