

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-3390-2023**

**Date of decision: 12.04.2023.**

**Preeti and another**

**....Petitioners.**

**Versus**

**State of Haryana and others**

**....Respondents.**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY**

Present:- Mr. Anil Malik, Advocate, for  
Mr. Shivek Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioners.

.....

**SANJIV BERRY, J.**

This is a petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 10.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners, both major, have solemnized their marriage on 06.04.2023 according to Hindu rites and ceremonies. He also refers to the marriage certificate dated 06.04.2023 (Annexure P-3) and the photographs (Annexure P-4). He also referred to the Aadhar Cards (Annexures P-1 and P-2) of the petitioners to show that the petitioners are major.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the private respondents No. 4 to 10 are not accepting the marriage of the petitioners and they apprehend danger to their life and liberty from the private respondents and in this regard they have already moved a representation dated 08.04.2023 (Annexure P-5) before respondent No. 2-Superintendent of Police, Sonipat.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Vishal Malik, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State-respondent.

Without commenting on the validity of the marriage and the relationship of the parties, the petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No. 2-Superintendent of Police, Sonipat, to consider the representation dated 08.04.2023 (Annexure P-5) keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and if so warranted, take action, to ensure protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners, strictly in accordance with law.

This order is not to be construed as any opinion with regard to the age of the petitioners or factum or validity of their marriage in any civil or criminal proceedings nor the petitioners would be entitled for any protection against their arrest or continuance of any criminal proceedings, if otherwise, found to be involved in commission of any cognizable offence(s).

(SANJIV BERRY)  
JUDGE

12.04.2023  
preeti

- |     |                            |        |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i)  | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |