

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

219

2023:PHHC:104198-DB

**CWP-9698-2021 (O & M)
Date of Decision: 10.08.2023**

M/s. Target Everyone IT Pvt. Ltd. and others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN**

Present: Mr. Aashish Chopra, Sr. Advocate,
with Mr. Vineet Sehgal, Advocate,
and Mr. Gagandeep Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Ms. Monica Chhibber Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

1. Challenge in the present writ petition filed under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India is to the Revised Zoning Plan dated 29.09.2018 whereby, the permission for entry gate to Plot No.I-8 to I-18 had been granted through 80 ft. wide road only. Vide the said communication dated 14.11.2019 (Annexure P-11), no entry gate was to be provided on the 100 ft. road.

2. Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in view of the subsequent revision of the zoning plan which has now been communicated on 05.04.2023, photocopy of document which has been placed on record, the entry now is permissible on the 100 ft. road and, therefore, he does not wish

to press the writ petition qua said relief to that extent.

3. Ordered accordingly.

4. The issue now which the petitioner seeks to rake up is that the allotment price of the plots in question had been duly deposited and, therefore, the intervening period of three years be treated as zero period and they would be entitled for the interest on the amount which has been deposited. On 09.01.2023, the following order was passed by the co-ordinate Bench:-

“Learned senior counsel for the petitioners contends that as per the information received by them, probably the zoning plan has been changed by the competent authority i.e. respondent No. 3 as it originally stood rendering the grievance, therefore qua this aspect of the petitioners, redressed.

As regards the other aspect relating to treating of this period of approximately three years for the interregnum as zero period entitling them to the interest for the amount, which has been deposited, the petitioners have already moved a representation, which may be considered and decided.

Learned counsel for the respondents to seek instructions with regard to the statement made by the learned senior counsel for the petitioners.

*List on **01.02.2023**.*

In the meanwhile, it would be open to the respondents to take decision on the representation of the petitioners, if any submitted.”

5. Ms. Chhibber submits that if a formal fresh representation is submitted putting forth their case, a decision will be taken by the competent authority within a period of 8 weeks from the date of the receipt of the said representation.

the petitioners to their remedy before the concerned authority i.e. Chief Administrator, GMADA to put forth their only grievance, which is now stated to be surviving, which be decided as undertaken above.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

10.08.2023
shivani

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes
No