

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

266

2023:PHHC:085301

CRM-M No.17775 of 2023
Date of decision: July 6th, 2023

Jasmer alias Chau

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Lalit Kumar Narang, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aashish Bishnoi, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Amit Khari, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.0018 dated 02.02.2020 registered under Sections 323, 452, 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 325 IPC and Section 3 of SC and ST (Prevention of Atrocities Act) added later on at Police Station Bahukbarpur, District Rohtak and the consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Vide order dated 13.04.2023 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 12.05.2023 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at between them.

Report has since been received from learned Additional Sessions Judge, Rohtak, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has

indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that she would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report.

Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

In view of the report of the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Rohtak, and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

July 6th, 2023
Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No