

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(233)

CWP-7213-2023

Date of decision: - 11.08.2023

Joginder Singh and another**....Petitioners****Versus****State of Haryana and others****.....Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present:- Mr. Ravi Pratap Singh, Advocate
and Mr. Stephen Masih, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, DAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari/mandamus directing the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioners in pursuance of police/order dated 18.10.2017 (Annexure P-4) and all consequential benefits.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that for the grievance raised by the petitioners, the petitioners would submit a detailed representation to the competent authority of the respondent and the respondents be directed to consider the same as expeditiously as possible and if the pleas raised by the petitioners are found to be meritorious, then, to grant them the appropriate relief.

3. Learned counsel appearing for respondents-State has submitted that the competent authority of the respondent would consider

the representation of the petitioners and would take a final decision on the same within a period of three months from the date of filing of the said representation.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with the following terms: -

- (i) It would be open to the petitioners to give a detailed representation to the competent authority of the respondent State praying for regularization of their services.
- (ii) The Competent Authority of the respondent State would consider the said representation as expeditiously as possible, preferably, within a period of three months from the date of filing of the representation.
- (iii) In case the competent authority of the respondent is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioners are meritorious, then, the necessary relief be granted to the petitioners as expeditiously as possible. In case the competent authority is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioners are meritless, then, a speaking order rejecting the claim be passed within the aforesaid period of three months.

4. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of the respondent State would consider the said representation independently, in accordance with law.

August 11, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No