

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-17180-2023
Date of Decision :19.04.2023

Sunil @ Goggal

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Vibha Tewari, AAG, Haryana.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though, the present petition which has been filed for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner is not being pressed any further but as considerable time has already elapsed since the trial starts but the same has not been concluded so far as out of the total 14 cited witnesses only 02 witnesses have been examined so far, trial Court may kindly be directed to conclude the trial expeditiously in a time bound manner so that the petitioner does not suffer any prejudice.

Learned State counsel does not oppose the said prayer of the learned counsel for the petitioner.

As prayed for, present petition seeking grant of bail is disposed of having been not pressed any further with a direction to the trial Court to make all the endeavour to conclude the trial within a period of six months

from the next date of hearing fixed before the trial Court even if, the said purpose is to be achieved by granting short adjournments.

April 19, 2023
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No