

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(110)

CWP-7180-2023**Date of decision: - 12.04.2023****Sajjan Singh****....Petitioner****Versus****State of Haryana and others****.....Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present:- Mr. Sumit Sangwan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 3.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent No.1 to decide the stay application filed alongwith revision petition bearing ROR No.116 of 2022-2023 titled as 'Sajjan Singh Versus Collector, Badhra and others', dated 24.03.2023 (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that against the order dated 21.02.2023 (Annexure P-7) as well as the *sanad takseem*, the petitioner has filed the above-said ROR No.116 of 2022-2023, which is dated 24.03.2023 and in the said case, no date of hearing has been fixed as there is no Presiding Officer. It is further submitted that alongwith the

revision petition even a stay application has been filed.

Learned State counsel appearing for respondents No.1 to 3, on instructions from Mr. Gurdeep, Clerk, has submitted that a new Financial Commissioner has now been appointed and every endeavour would be made by respondent No.1 to take up the said ROR No.116 of 2022-2023 (Annexure P-1) alongwith the stay application for preliminary hearing.

Keeping in view above-said facts and circumstances, the present writ petition is disposed of with the following terms: -

- (i) Office/Registry of respondent No.1 is directed to list the ROR No.116 of 2022-2023 titled as 'Sajjan Singh Versus Collector, Badhra and others', dated 24.03.2023 (Annexure P-1), in case the file submitted by the petitioner alongwith the stay application is complete in all respects, within a period of two weeks from the date the certified copy of the order is given by the petitioner to the said office.
- (ii) Respondent No.1 is directed to consider the ROR No.116 of 2022-2023 (Annexure P-1) alongwith the stay application for preliminary hearing within a period of three weeks from today and pass appropriate orders on the same.

It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the revision petition as well as the stay application would be considered by respondent No.1 independently, in accordance with law.

April 12, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No