

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

207

CRM-M-24956-2021

Date of Decision: 17.03.2023

Rubina and Another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. G.P.S Bal, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. Amish Sharma, AAG, Punjab
(assisted by ASI Dilbagh Singh)Mr. Manoj Kumar Pundir, Advocate for the complainant
*****JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)**

On 16.07.2021, the following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioners prays for anticipatory bail to the petitioners, while contending that they have been falsely implicated in the said matter. It is argued that both the petitioners had already approached this High Court seeking protection of their life and liberty after solemnizing marriage and it is thereafter, the instant FIR came to be registered.

Adjourned to 09.11.2021.

Meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to join the investigation within a period of one week and on their doing so, the petitioners be released on interim bail subject to their furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioners shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have joined investigation.

Learned counsel for complainant submits that petitioners have joined investigation, however, his money which his daughter had taken at the time of eloping with petitioner No.2 has not been recovered.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Dilbagh Singh, submits that petitioners have joined investigation and no custodial interrogation is required.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioners vide order dated 16.07.2023 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) of Cr.P.C.

If the petitioners or their family members/associates make any attempt to threat/intimidate the witnesses in the present case, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of bail granted by this order.

Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed as expression of opinion of this Court on merits of the case and Trial Court shall proceed without being prejudiced by observations of this Court.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

17.03.2023
Mohit Kumar

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>