

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

234

CWP-8306-2020 (O&M)

Decided on : 04.10.2023

Lalit Chaudhary

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

PRESENT: Mr. D. S. Patwalia, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Kannan Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Shubhkarman Singh Gill, Advocate for
Mr. Kshitij Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No. 5.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

CM-9316-CWP-2020

For the reasons mentioned in the application, same is allowed subject to all just exceptions and replication to the written statement filed on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 4 is taken on record.

CWP-8306-2020

1. Learned Senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that during the pendency of the present petition, the petitioner has already been reinstated in service, hence, keeping in view the said development, the grievance qua the suspension order does not survive any further.

2. Learned senior counsel further submits that a show cause notice has been given to the petitioner on the basis of the report given by the Sexual Harassment Committee and at this stage, the petitioner intends to raise all the objections qua the said report before the authorities concerned to point out that the said report is incorrect and fallacious and liberty be given to the petitioner to avail the appropriate remedy in case the petitioner is aggrieved in any manner qua any order is passed by the respondent-Department on the basis of the said report of the Sexual Harassment Committee, by keeping all the arguments and questions as raised in this petition, open.

3. Keeping in view the fact that no action has been taken by the respondent-Department so far on the basis of the report of the Sexual Harassment Committee and in case, any action is to be taken, the same has to be consistent with the settled principle of law, the prayer of the petitioner so as not to press the present petition any further at this stage with liberty to avail appropriate remedy in case any order passed by the respondent-Department causing prejudice to the petitioner, is accepted and the writ petition is disposed of as not pressed with liberty as prayed for.

4. Any civil miscellaneous application, pending if any, stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

04.10.2023

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No