

221

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCN No.1773 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 05.01.2023

Surinder Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

Angrej Kaur and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Nitika Jaura, Advocate
for Mr. Sandeep Khunger, Advocate
for respondents No.1 to 6, 8, 9, 11 to 20.

Mr. Lovish Arora, Advocate for respondent No.22.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)

The petitioner is alleging the violation of the order dated 15.11.2000 passed in CWP No.6006 of 1999 as well as the order dated 16.01.2013 passed in LPA No.673 of 2012.

It is stated in the petition that while deciding the LPA on 16.01.2013, the case was remanded back to the Collector, Ferozepur to decide the surplus area case afresh under the 1972 Act.

The only allegation in this contempt petition is that the Collector has decided the case not under the 1972 Act but under the old Act.

Separate reply by way of affidavit of the Sub-Divisional Magistrate-cum-Collector, Nakodar as well as the private respondents is filed.

In the affidavit of the Collector, Nakodar, it is stated that the case after the remands stands decided, in accordance with law and there is no violation of the order dated 16.01.2013.

In the reply filed by the private respondents also, it is stated that there is no violation of the order passed by this Court.

Even otherwise, the petitioner has a remedy to challenge the order passed by the Collector, Nakodar, in accordance with law, before the Appellate Authority.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of having been rendered infructuous.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

05.01.2023
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No