(227) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-8985-2019
Date of Decision: 19.01.2023

INDIA INFOLINE HOME FINANCE LIMITED ...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: Mr. Vineet Sehgal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharan Sethi, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Mr. Chetan Mittal, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Gaurav Goel, Advocate for respondent No.13.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. *(Oral)*

Counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that a settlement stands arrived at in terms of document dated 16.11.2022 and it has been taken on record as Annexure P-9.

In view of the above, counsel for the petitioner makes a statement that he has instructions from the petitioner-Company not to pursue the instant writ petition at this stage.

The petition is disposed of as not pressed.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

Needless to observe that it would always be open for the petitioner to file an application for revival of the writ petition in the eventuality of the settlement not fructifying and not being taken to its logical end.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

19.01.2023
kv
Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

KUMUD VERMA
2023.01.24 11:10
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
Chandigarh