

105+239

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CM-20118-CII-2023 in/and
COCP-1039-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision:29.11.2023

PAWAN KUMAR

.....Petitioner

V/s.

**DR. MONICA AGNIHOTRI, CHIEF VIGILANCE OFFICER,
VIGILANCE DEPARTMENT, NORTHERN RAILWAY, NEW DELHI
AND ANOTHER**

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA.

Present None for the petitioner.

Mr. Somesh Gupta, Advocate Senior Panel Counsel
for the respondents.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J (Oral)

CM-20118-CII-2023

1. Application is allowed and short reply by way of an affidavit
on behalf of respondent No.2 is taken on record

COCP-1039-2023 (O&M)

2. The petitioner claims for non-compliance of the order passed
by this Court dated 20.09.2022 whereby this Court directed the Chief
Vigilance Officer to look into the complaint filed by the petitioner and pass
a reasoned and speaking order after giving an opportunity of hearing to the
respective parties.

3. Learned counsel for the Vigilance Department has stated that
the order has been duly complied with and speaking order has already been

passed. However, it appears that the petitioner is not satisfied and has raised objections relating to the speaking order.

4. In the opinion of this Court, the contempt proceedings would not survive after the compliance of the order dated 20.09.2022. If the petitioner has any grievance relating to the speaking order, it is always open for him to challenge the same in the appropriate proceedings.

5. In view of the aforesaid, the contempt proceedings are dropped and Contempt Petition stands ***disposed of***.

6. Notices stands discharged.

7. All pending applications filed in this Contempt Petition shall stand disposed of.

November 29, 2023

Ess Kay

**[SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA]
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking / reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No.</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>