

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-30470 OF 2018
Date of Decision:24.05.2018**

Sidhant Kumar and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA**

Present: Mr.Vishavjeet Singh, Advocate for
Ms.Divya Sharma, Advocate for the
applicant-petitioners.

Mr.Jaiteshwar S. Bhandari, AAG, Punjab

Mr.Shiv Kumar Rana, Advocate for
respondents No.2 to 4.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J (ORAL)

This is a petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying for quashing of the FIR No.81 dated 28.04.2017, under Section 363, 366-A/120-B of IPC and Section 4 to 8 of POCSO Act, 2012, Police Station Phagwara, District Kapurthala, on the basis of compromise.

It is stated by the learned counsel for the petitioner statements of the prosecutrix has already been recorded before the concerned trial Court relating to the FIR registered by her.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the parties are residing together and out of the wedlock a female child was born. All these aspects can be examined by the concerned Court. There is no purpose in letting the interim order to continue and more so in view of the judgement passed in *Asian Resurfacing of Road Agency (Pvt) Ltd. Vs. CBI*

(2018) 16 SCC 299, it is expected that the trial Court shall conclude the trial expeditiously preferably within period of 03 months from today.

In view of the said observations, the present petition is disposed of and the interim order if any, shall also stand vacated.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

24.05.2023
Raman

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No