

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

120

CRWP- 3242 - 2023
Date of decision :05.04.2023

Retika and another.

... Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Nikhil K. Vashisht, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vipin Pal Yadav, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Retika and Ravinder Kumar, the petitioners before this Court, claim themselves to be major. It has been pleaded that they have married against the wishes of the respondent Nos.3 to 8 and seek protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from the respondent Nos.3 to 8. They have placed on record the marriage certificate (Annexure P-1) evidencing their marriage. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 30.03.2023 (Annexure P-4) to the respondent No.2.

2. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, this Court disposes of the writ petition with directions to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot to decide the representation of the petitioners (Annexure P-4) within a period of one month and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

05.04.2023

manoj

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No