

1 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

RA-CW-116-2021;
CM-6495-CWP-2021;
CM-15056-CWP-2022 in
CWP-19589-2020

Date of Decision: September 22, 2023

Ashwani Kumar Gupta

..... Petitioner

Versus

Tata Capital Housing Finance Ltd.

..... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Paras Jain, Advocate for
Mr. Pankaj Gupta, Advocate for the review applicant/petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Sethi, Advocate for
Mr. Mohit Sareen, Advocate for the respondent.

LISA GILL, J.

1. Applicant-petitioner has filed this application seeking review of order dated 18.11.2020 vide which this writ petition was dismissed.
2. Faced with judgment of Hon'ble the Supreme Court in **Phoenix ARC Private Limited versus Vishwa Bharti Vidya Mandir and others, 2022 (1) RCR (Civil) 888** in view of which the present writ petition itself would not be maintainable, learned counsel for the applicant seeks to withdraw this application with liberty to the applicant to avail statutory remedy available to him. However, it is submitted that names and details of the subsequent auction purchaser have not been provided by the respondent to the petitioner.

**RA-CW-116-2021;
CM-6495-CWP-2021;
CM-15056-CWP-2022 in
CWP-19589-2020**

-2-

3. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that necessary information including details of auction as well as auction purchasers shall be supplied to the petitioner within the next two working days.

4. In view of the above, this review application is dismissed as withdrawn.

5. Perusal of order dated 18.11.2020 reveals that liberty to avail efficacious remedy has already been granted to the applicant-petitioner, thus, there is no requirement of duplication thereof.

6. Pending application(s), if any, stands(s) disposed of.

**(LISA GILL)
JUDGE**

September 22, 2023

rts

**(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No