

2023:PHHC:135454
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH
211

CRM-M-17206-2023
Date of Decision: 17th October, 2023

Salem ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana .. Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr.RS. Bajwa, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Munish Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is filed seeking regular bail in FIR mentioned below :-

FIR No.	Date	Police Station	Sections
0087	24.4.2020	Bhondsi, District Gurugram	20 of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (for short ‘the NDPS Act) (later on charges framed under Sections 20(B) of the NDPS Act)

2. The main thrust for seeking regular bail is on the ground of custody period.

3. Learned State Counsel on instructions contends that only two prosecution witnesses remain to be examined and submits that the remaining two prosecution witnesses would be concluded within six weeks from today from 27.10.2023 i.e. the date fixed in the trial Court.

4. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the State, learned counsel for the petitioner is not pressing the petition at this stage. The prayer is that trial Court be directed to expedite the trial.

5. Dismissed as not pressed.
6. There is no doubt that in case a request is made before the court concerned for accommodating the date for early conclusion of trial, the same would be considered in accordance with law.
7. Since the main case has been dismissed as not pressed the pending application(s), if any is rendered infructuous.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

17th October, 2023
anuradha

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No