

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-16923-2023

Date of Decision:-08.08.2023

Amarjit Singh & Ors.

.....Petitioners.

Vs.

State of Punjab & Anr.

.....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- Mr. Prashant Bansal, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr. Harkanwar Jeet Singh, Assistant Advocate General,
Punjab.

Mr. Sandeep, Advocate for respondent no.2.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.172 dated 19.11.2021 under Sections 420 IPC and Sections 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC added later on registered at P.S. City Khanna, District Ludhiana and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 01.02.2023 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Vide order dated 11.04.2023 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in terms of certain parameters given in the aforesaid order dated 11.04.2023 with regard to the compromise dated 01.02.2023 (Annexure P-2).

In terms of the order dated 11.04.2023 passed by this Court parties have appeared before the court of Ms. Mehima Bhuler, Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Khanna and as per report dated 15.05.2023 submitted

to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

Perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in *Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052* and *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543*.

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Khanna accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.172 dated 19.11.2021 under Sections 420 IPC and Sections 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC added later on registered at P.S. City Khanna, District Ludhiana and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

August 08, 2023
Vinay

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No