

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(214)

CRM-M-19872-2021

Date of Decision : July 18, 2023

Gagandeep Sharma

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Manoj Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further qua the prayer for the grant of regular bail but the direction be issued to the trial Court to conclude the trial expeditiously.

Learned State counsel raises no objection for the grant of the said prayer.

Keeping in view the fact that out of total 17 witnesses, only three witnesses have been examined, the trial Court is directed to make all the efforts to conclude the trial within a period of six months from the next date of hearing.

The present petition is disposed of in above terms.

July 18, 2023*harsha***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No