

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

267

2023:PHHC:085296

CRM-M No.17821 of 2023

Date of Decision: July 6th, 2023

Hari Om Goyal

...Petitioner

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Eeshan Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Bali, Additional Public Prosecutor, U.T. Chandigarh.

Ms. Paramjeet Kaur, Advocate
for the complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel, at the very outset, on instructions from ASI Surinder Singh, apprised the Court that totally misleading statement had been made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the previous date that the petitioner was the sole accused in the FIR in question and all the other accused had been found innocent and placed in column No.2. Learned counsel submits that a fraud had been played upon this Court as the co-accused had not been found innocent, rather efforts were being made to arrest them.

Learned counsel for the petitioner expresses apology and prays for withdrawal of the instant petition as he had not been properly instructed by his client.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

July 6th, 2023
Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No