

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(115)

**CWP-6980-2023
Date of decision:- 10.04.2023**

Meena Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Tejpal Singh Dhull, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has approached this Court inter-alia challenging the action of the respondents, whereby she is being compelled to participate in the online transfer drive.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is working on the post of Female Warder and the cadre strength of the post in the State of Haryana is 76. By referring to the instructions pertaining to the online transfer policy, Annexure P-3, counsel submits that the policy is not applicable for the post where the cadre strength is less than 80. He submits that as the petitioner is being forced to participate in the online transfer drive, she has submitted a representation dated 24.02.2023, Annexure P-5, to respondent No.2, but to no avail. He submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case a direction is issued to the said respondent to look into her representation.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Pankaj Middha, Addl. A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Given the nature of order being passed, this Court does not deem it necessary to call upon the respondents to file a response.

Having heard counsel for the parties, but without commenting upon the grievance of the petitioner, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to examine the representation, Annexure P-5, within a period of four weeks from the date of communication of a copy of this order.

10.04.2023
Kamal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No