

113

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-12882-2023

Date of decision: 17.08.2023

Rakesh Kumar Kaushal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Ishan Kaushal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Bansal, Sr. DAG, Punjab
for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 30.11.2022 (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition, the petitioner had given representation dated 30.11.2022 (Annexure P-1) to the respondents and he would be satisfied at this stage, in case, respondent No.2 is directed to consider the said representation dated 30.11.2022 (Annexure P-1) in a time bound manner and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then to grant necessary relief in accordance with

law.

3. Learned State Counsel has submitted that respondent No.2 would consider the said representation dated 30.11.2022 (Annexure P-1) in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to consider representation dated 30.11.2022 (Annexure P-1) in accordance with law within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then respondent No.2 would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, respondent No.2 is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are not meritorious then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and respondent No.2 would consider the case of the petitioner independently and in accordance with law.

17.08.2023

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No