

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

136

CWP-27722-2023

Date of Decision : 11.12.2023

Hari Om Sharma

..... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr.Rajeev Anand, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Bhavana Datta, Sr.Panel Counsel
for the respondent-UOI.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of Constitution of India is seeking direction to the respondents to fix his pay in accordance with 6th Central Pay Commission Report.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondent has not revised salary of the petitioner in accordance with 6th Central Pay Commission Report which has been implemented w.e.f. 01.01.2006. He submits that grievance of the petitioner, at this stage, would be redressed, if the respondents are directed to decide legal notice dated 27.01.2023 (Annexure P-5) in a time bound manner.
3. Faced with this, learned counsel for the respondents asserts that the competent authority would look into the grievance of the petitioner and pass an appropriate order within two months from today.

4. In the wake of statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition stands disposed of.

11.12.2023
anju

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>