

253                      2023:PHHC:123082  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-288-2015 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 19.09.2023

**Nitin Kumar and others**

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

**State of Haryana and others**

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI**

Present:        None for the petitioners.

Ms. Harpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Divyam Singh, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*

**JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)**

Nobody has caused appearance on behalf of the petitioners. However, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.2 has submitted that the present petition has become infructuous in view of the fact that the prayer in the present petition is for quashing of the complaint and the summoning order dated 29.08.2014. He submitted that during the pendency of the present petition, the matter has already been compromised between the parties and the complaint itself stands withdrawn and the petitioners have already been acquitted.

In view of the statement made by the learned counsel for respondent No.2, the present petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

Since the learned counsel for the petitioners is not present, liberty is granted to the petitioners to file any appropriate application for recalling of the present petition, in case any cause of action survives.

**19.09.2023**

*rakesh*

**(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking

:        Yes/No

Whether reportable

:        Yes/No