

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.: 111

Civil Writ Petition No.7003 of 2023

Date of Decision: August 22, 2023

Seema Rani

..... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

State of Haryana & others

..... RESPONDENT(S)

. . .

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA**

. . .

PRESENT: - Mr. Rajiv Rathor, Advocate, for Mr. Ketan Antil,
Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

. . .

Tribhuvan Dahiya, J (Oral)

This petition has been filed seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the official respondents not to replace the petitioner (contractual employee) by another contractual employee, in terms of the Supreme Court judgment in *Hargurpratap Singh vs. State of Punjab & others*, 2007(13) SCC 292.

2. Learned State counsel has referred to short reply dated 17.08.2023 filed by way of affidavit of respondent No.3-Executive Engineer, Provincial Division No.1, PWD (B&R), Branch Sonapat, which is to the effect: “*It is specifically denied that the respondent No.3 wants to terminate the services of the petitioner or want to replace the petitioner by his own person.*”

It is therefore, submitted that there is no apprehension of petitioner’s service being terminated or she being replaced by someone else at this stage.

3. In view of the aforesaid, grievance raised by the petitioner stands satisfied, and nothing survives for adjudication at this stage.

4. Disposed of.

(Tribhuvan Dahiya)
Judge

August 22, 2023
avin

<u>Whether Speaking/ Reasoned:</u>	<u>Yes/ No</u>
<u>Whether Reportable:</u>	<u>Yes/ No</u>