

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-16773-2023

Date of Decision : 03.04.2023

Vijaypal

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present : Mr. Rakesh Sobti, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.188 dated 27.02.2023, registered under Sections 324, 34, 506 IPC (Sections 307 and 323 IPC added lateron), at Police Station Sector 58, District Faridabad.

The allegation against the petitioner is that on 24.02.2023, he stabbed the complainant Parkash with a knife and the complainant suffered injuries in his abdomen which were declared to be dangerous to life.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has strenuously urged that it is a case of version and cross version and that with regard to the incident alleged to have taken place on 24.02.2023, the petitioner has also filed a complaint under Section 156(3) of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Annexure P-5) in the Court of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Faridabad and notice in the same had been issued vide order dated 13.03.2023 (Annexure P-6). Learned counsel submits that initially the petitioner had submitted a

complaint to the police also but no action was taken. Learned counsel has submitted that the version of the complainant has been erroneously believed and the version of the petitioner has been discarded. He submits that the petitioner is willing to join investigation and abide by any condition imposed by this Court.

I have considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner but find the same to be devoid of merit. The FIR in question was registered on the statement of injured-complainant Parkash, who is said to have suffered stab injuries in his abdomen which were allegedly inflicted by the petitioner. These injuries were declared to be dangerous to life. In the considered opinion of this Court, custodial interrogation of the petitioner would be imperative to elicit the truth. No ground is made out to extend the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner where he is alleged to have committed an offence which could very well have taken the life of complainant.

In view of the aforementioned facts and circumstances, I do not find any merit in the present petition and the same is hereby dismissed.

However, nothing observed herein shall be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

03.04.2023
mamta

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No