

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(108)

CWP No.8140 of 2020

Date of Decision : 24.08.2023

Yogesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ivneet Singh Pabla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Middha, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the challenge is to the Answer Key published to the written examination held for the post of Junior Engineer (Civil) on the ground that the Answer Key does not depict the correct answer to the questions posed in the written examination.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner filed certain objections to the Answer Key but without considering his claim, the final result dated 06.06.2020 for the post in question has been declared by the respondent-department, which is arbitrary.

In the reply by the respondent-department, the respondents have stated that large number of objections were received qua the Answer Key, which was sent to the Chief Examiner and the Chief Examiner, in his wisdom constituted an Expert Committee of three members to look into those objections and keeping in view the recommendations of the Expert

Committee, the final Answer Key has been published and the final result which has been declared is as per the final Answer Key.

Keeping in view the above, as the respondents have already put the objection of the petitioner before the Expert Committee and have accepted the recommendation of the Expert Committee, keeping in view the settled principle of law settled by Hon'ble Supreme Court in CA No.367 of 2017 dated 11.12.2017 titled as **Ran Vijay Singh and others Vs. State of U.P. and others**, no further action is required to be taken by this Court.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the report of the Expert Committee, the petitioner was to be given two marks for one of the questions but according to the petitioner, the said marks have not been given to him.

Nothing has been brought on record to show that the marks for which the petitioner is claiming have not been given to him. Even otherwise, in case the petitioner has any doubt with regard to the said fact, the petitioner is free to approach the respondents to seek appropriate information as the petitioner deems fit in the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case.

No ground is made out for any interference by this Court in the facts and circumstances of the present case.

Dismissed.

August 24, 2023
jt

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No