

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.M. No. 19758 of 2022 in/and
CWP No. 8845 of 2019 (O&M)**

DECIDED ON: 1st March, 2023

Ram Singh

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate for petitioner.
Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG Punjab.
Mr. Brij Mohan Vinayak, Advocate for respondent No.7.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

This application is filed for amendment of writ petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the amendment of the petition was necessitated as during pendency of this writ petition without considering the objection raised in the petition some of which were admitted in the reply, the licence of respondent No.7 has been renewed.

Mr. Karun Sachdev, Zonal Licencing Authority, Amritsar present in Court submits that the word 'renewal' has been substituted with 'retention' and except for accepting the extension fee no other aspect is to be looked into.

At this stage, considering the facts and circumstances in totality, it would be desirable that instead of amendment of the petition, a fresh petition with better particulars be filed.

Dismissed with liberty to file fresh with better particulars.

Since the main case has been dismissed, pending application, if any is rendered infructuous.

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

1st March, 2023

reema

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>No</i>