

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-8138-2020(O&M)
Date of decision: 27.01.2023

Shubham Mittal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA,
CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI**

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Avinit Avasthi, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Shekhran Singh Virk, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

RAVI SHANKER JHA, C.J. (Oral)

The petition at hand was filed in the year 2020. It is informed by learned counsel for the respondent No.3-University that though by an interim order, the petitioner was permitted to provisionally participate in the counseling, and they did so, however, they chose not to select or opt for any seat. He submits that in such circumstances, the petition has rendered infructuous.

Be that as it may, none is present on behalf of the petitioner to pursue the matter.

The petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

**(Ravi Shanker Jha)
Chief Justice**

**(Arun Palli)
Judge**

27.01.2023

Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES/NO
YES/NO