

**HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*

**CWP-6843-2023**

**Decided on 16.11.2023**

\*\*\*\*

SUSHIL KUMAR AND ORS

... Petitioners

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.

... Respondents

\*\*\*\*

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL**

\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr. Mannu Sheokhand, Advocate for the petitioners

Ms. Dimple Jain, DAG Haryana

Mr. Jagbir Malik, Advocate for respondents No.3 & 4

\*\*\*\*

**Sandeep Moudgil, J.**

The petitioner has filed writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking a direction to the respondents to continue the employment of the petitioners through respondent No.2 i.e. Haryana Kaushal Rozgar Nigam Ltd.

Since the petitioners are already on the rolls with respondent No.2, Mr. Jagbir Malik, Advocate could not controvert the statement made by learned State counsel that the petitioners are already on the portal of HKRNL and are still working on their posts with respondents No.3 & 4. Therefore, nothing survives in the instant petition.

However, the order, if any, passed by respondents No.3&4 does not hold good in the eyes of law regarding this placement. It is made clear that in case, respondents No.3&4 are aggrieved in any manner, by deployment of petitioners through HKRNL, their dispute is not with the petitioners and as such, they may raise their dispute through competent authority whosoever it may be.

The writ petition is disposed of as having infructuous with liberty aforementioned.

16.11.2023

*V.Vishal*

**(Sandeep Moudgil)**

**Judge**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

2. Whether reportable?

Yes/No