

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-17058-2023

Date of decision: 18.04.2023

RAJINDER SINGH AND OTHERS

....Petitioner.

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Narinder Singh Lucky, Advocate for
Ms. Gurpal Kaur Daulat, Advocate for the petitioners.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, *inter alia*, contends that the private respondents No.4 to 8 had beaten petitioners No.1 and 2 and also threatened to kill them and in this regard representation was moved to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur, but no action thereon has been taken. Learned counsel for the petitioner further refers to the representation dated 14.09.2022 (Annexure P-4, Annexure P-7 and Annexure P-8) in this regard.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Shubham Kaushik, AAG, Punjab, who is present in Court accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is hereby disposed of

with the direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police,

Sangrur, to consider and dispose of the representation (Annexure P-8) dated 13.03.2023 expeditiously in accordance with law and take action thereon, if so, warranted. The decision so taken conveyed to the petitioner.

It is, however, made clear that any observation made above shall not affect the merits of the case nor the same will influence the concerned authority in deciding the representation in accordance with law.

Disposed of with the aforesaid directions.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

18.04.2023
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |