

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-8875-2019

Date of decision : 22.05.2023

Somi Devi

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Ms.Rajni Bala, Advocate for
Mr.Shokeen Singh Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana
for respondents no.1 to 4.

Ms.Priyanka Chauhan, Advocate for
Mr.Sanjeev Kodan, Advocate
for respondent no.5.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in nature of writ of certiorari to set aside the order/report dated 30.01.2019 (Annexure P-7) passed by the Additional Deputy Commissioner, Charkhi Dadri, Haryana.

2. Learned State counsel has pointed out that in the present case, show cause notice dated 25.02.2019 was issued under Section 51(1) of the Haryana Panchyati Raj Act 1994 (in short "the Act") and thereafter the petitioner was suspended and since the term of the petitioner has lapsed, thus, the present petition has been rendered infructuous. It is further

then notice under Section 53 of the Act would be issued to the petitioner and petitioner will be given an opportunity to file reply to the said notice and any further action would be taken after considering the reply filed by the petitioner to the said notice.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, in view of the stand taken by learned State counsel, has submitted that the present petition be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. It is prayed that the State be bound by the statement made before this Court.

4. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. The State would be bound by the statement made before this Court.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

May 22, 2023
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No