

117.

2023:PHHC:048240

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-6961-2023

Date of Decision: 10.04.2023

RANI ARORA AND OTHERS

.... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate, for the petitioners.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

The petitioners herein seek a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the qualifying service rendered by them for the purpose of pension from the date of their appointment and not from the date of contributory pension scheme, and also to pay them the consequential benefits.

At the very outset, counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners would submit that at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied in case their legal notices dated 27.01.2023 and 30.01.2023 served upon the respondents, whereupon no decision has been taken as yet, are decided in a time bound manner, in accordance with law.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. Anant Kataria, DAG, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents.

Let adequate number of copies of the writ petition be furnished to the counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents during the course of the day.

Without commenting on merits of the case and keeping in view the limited prayer made by counsel for the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide legal notices dated 27.01.2023 and 30.01.2023 of the petitioners, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, in accordance with law and convey the decision to the petitioners. In case of failure to do so, the officer responsible for the lapse would be liable to costs of Rs.20,000/- from his personal pocket, to be deposited with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Advocates Welfare Fund.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

10.04.2023

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No