

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

249

CRM-M-19842 of 2022

Date of Decision:01.03.2023

Gurbaksh Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Superintendent of CGST, Commissionerate, Ludhiana

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Ashish Soi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sourabh Goel, Advocate, Ms. Shivani Sahni &
Mr. Tej Bahadur, Advocates for respondent.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail by way of this petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C in a complaint case titled as 'Superintendent, Preventive, CGST, Ludhiana vs M/s Japji Knitwears Pvt Ltd & 9 Ors' bearing No.COMA-6114-2022 under Section 132(1)(b, c & 1) punishable under Section 132(1)(i) of CGST Act, 2017 and under clauses (b), (c) & (1) of sub-Section (1) of Section 132 of Punjab State Goods & Services Tax Act, 2017 read with Section 20 of the Integrated Goods & Service Tax Act, 2017 is pending in the Court of Learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ludhiana.

Custody certificate has been placed on record revealing that petitioner had already undergone the custody period of 1 year 2 months and 6 days. The maximum sentence provided for the offences allegedly committed by the petitioner is 5 years. It is also stated by learned counsel for the petitioner that all other co-accused namely Baljinder Singh, Vishal

Singh, Sagar, Gurtej Singh, Mohan Lal Verma, Mukesh Verma and Sukhvir Kumar had already been allowed bail, though it is pointed out by learned counsel for the respondent that co-accused had been allowed default bail.

Having regard to the custody period of the petitioner and the fact that all other co-accused have already been granted bail including principal accused Baljinder, this petition is accepted. Petitioner is admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds/sureties to the satisfaction of the concerned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate.

March 01, 2023

Neetika Tuteja

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No